

Blue Mountain Community College Administrative Procedure

Procedure Title: Faculty Evaluations Procedure Number: 05-2004-0003

Board Policy Reference: IV.B. Human Resources Direction

NWCCU Standard:

Accountable Administrator: Vice President, Instruction

Position responsible for updating: Vice President, Instruction

Original Date: September, 1980
Date Approved by Cabinet:11-17-05

Authorizing Signature: Signed original on file

Dated: 11-09-04

Date Posted on Web: 08-10-09

Revised Date: 07-08 Reviewed: 09-13

Purpose/Principle/Definitions:

In order to fulfill those responsibilities related to improving and maintaining quality instruction, it is important to have an ongoing, objective personnel evaluation program.

Guidelines:

The College shall perform an administrative evaluation of all full-time faculty not less than every four years. The Vice President, Instruction will be responsible for identifying faculty members to be evaluated and assigning evaluating administrators to each. The Office of Institutional Advancement will distribute materials to those faculty members. The evaluation process will include the following four components:

- 1. Administrator Evaluation
- Student Evaluation
- 3. Peer Evaluation
- 4. Self Evaluation

Regular part-time faculty will be evaluated on the same time table, but will undergo an abbreviated process incorporating only the student evaluation, self evaluation and administrator evaluation components.

Administrator Evaluation:

The administrator assigned by the Office of Instruction will administer the evaluation process (see Attachment A). This administrator will observe one class session to be determined by the administrator. No advance notice will be given to the instructor. At the time of the observation, or later if arranged, the administrator or designated representative will perform the student evaluation process. The administrator will complete the

administrative evaluation report (see Attachment B) only after receiving student survey results and the instructor's self evaluation. It is expected that the administrator incorporate all these elements into the evaluation report. Further, the administrator will include a summary report specifically addressing the nine defined merit elements (see Attachment C). The administrator will arrange and meet with the faculty member once all elements of the process are completed for a post-evaluation review. It is expected that from this process, a set of recommendations for improvement will produced and incorporated into the instructor's Professional Development Plan. If there are budgetary ramifications stemming from these recommendations, the Budget Worksheet (see Attachment H) must be filled out and submitted to the Office of Instruction. Following this meeting, all reports must be submitted to the Office of Human Resources for permanent filing.

Student Evaluation:

Student evaluation will consist of two forms. The first is the Student Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness (SETE) form (see Attachment D), which is a scan form used every term in all qualifying courses (see Administrative Procedure 05-2004-0002). This form does not offer students the opportunity to provide comments. For this reason, the Student Evaluation Comments form is added during the administrative evaluation (see attachment E)

Peer Evaluation:

The faculty member to be evaluated will select a peer evaluator. The peer evaluator will observe one class session and create a narrative report stating the practices observed as well as strengths, and weaknesses. This report is confidential between the faculty member being evaluated, and the peer. A form (see Attachment F) must be submitted to the Office of Instruction verifying the report was written.

Self Evaluation:

The faculty member must fill out a self evaluation form (see Attachment G). This form will be filled out after the faculty member has received the peer and student evaluation reports.

Forms: Administrative Evaluation of Faculty Performance Student Comments Form

Thursday, April 24, 2003



TO: Instructor

FROM: Dan Lange

RE: Faculty Evaluation

Hi Instructor,

Sample

Our records show that it is time once again for you to undergo the formal evaluation process. For all instructors with a regular contract, we are bound by accreditation standards to perform formal evaluation at least once every four years. I will be administrating your evaluation.

The evaluation process is designed to expose strengths and weaknesses in a variety of areas, from a variety of viewpoints. It is important to recognize and honor the strengths you've worked so hard to develop. Along with this recognition, it is the duty of administrator and instructor alike to confront the weaknesses, of which no one is exempt. In the process of constant improvement, this is the first step. Therefore, this evaluation is but the first step, rather than the last, in the process of ongoing improvement. The true evaluation then, is not the results of this effort, but the results of the response.

Our timeline is as follows:

Activity	Performed By:	Deadline
Send Introduction Letter and Evaluation	Office of Instruction	April 30
Materials		
Schedule Classroom Visit	Office of Instruction	May 7
Select Peer Evaluator	Instructor	May 9
Verify Current Syllabi and PDP Are On File	Instructor	May 16
Complete Classroom Visit	Office of Instruction	May 20
Administer Student Evaluations	Office of Instruction	June 6
Submit Peer Response Report	Peer Evaluator	June 6
Submit Self Evaluation (Including specific	Instructor	June 6
reference to Peer Evaluation*)		
Post-Evaluation Meeting	Office of Instruction	June 13

^{*}Note: The results of the Peer Evaluation remain confidential between you and the Peer Evaluator. Those results, along with the other evaluation elements, should provide direction in the completion of the Self Evaluation

ADMINISTRATIVE EVALUATION OF FACULTY PERFORMANCE

Faculty Name E	Evaluation Term/Year				
Insert an "x" in the box corresponding to performance of each component. Provide specific examples of actions, techniques, or behaviors that support the choice for each of the behaviors.					
Classroom Instruction: Course Observed Observation Date Time of Observation: From To Maintains classroom atmosphere, which is supportive or	f learning.	Recognized Strength	Satisfactory Performance	Needs Improvement	Not Applicable
Uses instructional materials, technology and equipment	effectively.				
Gives easily understood directions and explanations.					
Presentation to class stimulates learning in the classroom	n.				
Uses teaching methods, which accommodate different le styles.	arning				
Exhibits enthusiasm about subject matter being taught classroom.	in the				
Responds to and is concerned about issues students brin the course.	g up about				
Non-Classroom Aspects		Recognized Strength	Satisfactory Performance	Needs Improvement	Not Applicable
Works effectively with others in committees, on projects part of teams.	s and as a	H 51			-
Participates in professional development activities (can Faculty Teaching Center events), which are offered on couring contractual days.					

Indicate by check mark, which of the following expected documents, policies and procedures are up-to-date for this faculty member. Those items left blank will need to be completed before this evaluation process is considered finished. Attach documentation and note comments in designated sections.

	Yes	No	Not applicable
Has current syllabi (developed in conjunction with the Course Information			
Guide) on file in the Office of Instruction			
Has an up-to-date record of students' assignments, exams and attendance (if appropriate) available.			
Lists in the syllabus an ADA non-discrimination clause.			
Lists in the syllabus and clause indicating that unforeseen changes in			
expectations might occur during the term.			
Lists in the syllabus the requirements (if any) for attendance.			
Lists in the syllabus the requirements (if any) for participation.			
Lists in the syllabus what his/her office hours are for the term in progress			

- Summarize areas of strength:
- Summarize areas of weakness with accompanied suggested remedy:

Time/ Date of Evaluat	tion Conference
SIGNATURE	DATE
INSTRUCTOR'S CO	OMMENTS:
INSTRUCTOR'S SIG	'NI A TUDE
The instructor's signa	ture indicates that this completed evaluation document has been
The instructor's signa	
The instructor's signa	ture indicates that this completed evaluation document has been
The instructor's signa	ture indicates that this completed evaluation document has been actor, but does not necessarily indicate agreement with its content.
The instructor's signa reviewed by the instru	ture indicates that this completed evaluation document has been actor, but does not necessarily indicate agreement with its content.
The instructor's signa reviewed by the instru	ture indicates that this completed evaluation document has been actor, but does not necessarily indicate agreement with its content.
The instructor's signa reviewed by the instru	te Copies to Administrator/Branch and instructor
The instructor's signa reviewed by the instru	te Copies to Administrator/Branch and instructor Assigned reviewer (Provost or VP of Institutional
The instructor's signa reviewed by the instru	te Copies to Administrator/Branch and instructor Assigned reviewer (Provost or VP of Institutional Advancement) reviews: name of reviewer:

Admin. Procedure 05-2004-0003 Rev. 02-12

Attachment C

MERIT AS DEFINED REGULAR FACULTY MEMBERS DRAFT (1-29-04)

Reasonable weight shall be given to all standards as outlined.

- 1. **Performance Evaluation**-All sections of the performance evaluations including probationary evaluations for the last two evaluation periods.
- 2. Educational Background and Experience- Baseline is a Masters degree in discipline being taught for all programs. Additional degrees will be considered above the base line. Experience and additional training, related to student learning, learning styles, class instruction and all vocational and other instructor certifications for professional technical programs will also be considered.
- 3. **Supervisory Analysis**-The analysis shall include a consideration of the regular faculty member's successful completion of supervisory directed assignments. A review of the faculty member's performance based on objective criteria during a minimum of the last two years but no more than the last five years depending upon length of employment. Examples- verbal or written commendations, student letters of complaint or commendation, parent letters of commendation or complaint written about instructors. Other criteria including, student performance on institutional or external test instruments may be used where available at the discretion of the VP/P.
- **Disciplinary Actions** All written disciplinary actions such as letters of reprimand or suspension for a minimum of the last two but no more than the last five years depending upon length of employment.
- 5. **Professional Development and Duties-** Classes, seminars and workshops attended during the last two years but no more than the last five years depending upon length of employment regarding instructional methodology and related academic disciplines. Current membership in professional organizations will also be reviewed for consideration. While research is a laudable academic pursuit it is not a core community college activity.

- 6. College and Community Committee Assignments- Participation in committees on campus and in the community as well as marketing the positive public image of the College over the last two years but no more than the last five years depending upon length of employment.
- 8. **Non-Instructional Service to Students-** Advising, mentoring or recruitment visits to local high schools in the last two years but not more than the last five years depending upon length of employment.
- 9. **Grants** Participation in grant development and related activities for assigned department or college in the last two years.



Student Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

NO. 2 PENCIL					
	RIGHT	WRONG			
		₩			
- Please Use No. 2 Pencil					
	- Fill in Bubble Completely				
-	Erase Co	mpletely to Change			

This survey will provide valuable feedback to your instructor. It is completely anonymous, and your instructor will only receive survey totals, and not until after grades have been submitted. Please answer each question honestly and thoughtfully.

	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
The instructor organized the course in a way that helped me to learn.	Agree		I	1	Disagre
The instructor provided a set of experiences that stimulated my learning.					
The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance and progress throughout the term.					
4. The instructor was willing to listen to students' questions and opinions.					
5. The instructor treated students fairly.					
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.					
7. The instructor communicated clearly					
What grade do you think you will receive for this course Prefix NP NO Credit NO P Which best describes why you took this course (Choose one) Required for my degree Required in my field of study Elective Interest/Self Improvement	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ourse N	o. Lab	Section No. 1	on
Age Gender N	1 2 3	structor No.	1 == 2 == 3 ==		Term □ Fa □ Wi
Ethnicity U	4 5 6		4 <u> </u>		□ Sp □ Su
□ Caucasian □ Native Am. □ Asian Am. □ Hispanic □ African Am. □ Other	7 8 9		7 — 8 — 9 —		

Student Evaluation of Instructor Effectiveness

Instruc	etor:		
Course	e # & Name:	Date:	
1.	What did you like most about this co	urse?	
2.	What, if anything, would you like to	change about this course?	
3.	What are your instructor's strengths?	,	
4.	What, if anything, could your instruction course material?	etor have done differently to help you better learn to	he
5.	What, if anything, could you have do	one differently to be more successful in this course	?
Oti	her comments:		
Please	use the reverse side of this paper if ne	ecessary.	

Admin. Procedure 05-2004-0003 Rev.: 08-09